Including unknown unknowns in Bayesian model selection

Glenn Starkman Roberto Trotta PMV



26.08.2008

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ●

The Unknown

As we know, There are known knowns. There are things we know we know. We also know There are known unknowns. That is to say We know there are some things We do not know. But there are also unknown unknowns, The ones we don't know We don't know.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

The Unknown

As we know, There are known knowns. There are things we know we know. We also know There are known unknowns. That is to say We know there are some things We do not know. But there are also unknown unknowns, The ones we don't know We don't know.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

(D. Rumsfeld)



) =



(Microwave Limb Sounder, NASA)



Introduction - How to detect features

Bayesian statistics

Doubt

Conclusions



How to detect features?



・ロト ・ 聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

-2

visual inspection

▶ \(\chi_2\)/dof

Bayesian model selection

$$p(\mathcal{M}|d) = rac{p(d|\mathcal{M})p(\mathcal{M})}{p(d)},$$

• evidence
$$p(d|\mathcal{M}) = \int d\theta \, p(d|\theta, \mathcal{M}) p(\theta)$$

► normalization constant p(d) = ∑_i p(d|M_i)p(M_i) (hard to compute, normally ignored)

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

• priors $p(\mathcal{M}), p(\theta)$

• Bayes factor
$$B_{01} = \frac{p(d|\mathcal{M}_0)}{p(d|\mathcal{M}_1)}$$

Doubt

$$p(\mathcal{X}|d) = rac{p(d|\mathcal{X})p(\mathcal{X})}{p(d)}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- unknown model X
- ► estimate evidence p(d|X) = e^{-1/2BIC} = L_{max}n^{-1/2k}
- ► normalization constant computable p(d) = p(d|𝒴)p(𝒴) + p(d|𝒴)p(𝒴)
- number of data points n
- number of parameters of the model k
- \mathcal{L}_{max} from χ^2/dof (sort of)

Example



◆□▶◆□▶◆□▶◆□▶ □ のへで

Using the wrong model distribution



◆□> ◆□> ◆目> ◆目> ◆目> ◆□>

Using the wrong model distribution





Using the correct model distribution



Tail distribution of doubt on correct model distribution



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●□ ● ●

Estimating \mathcal{L}_{max}



• χ^2 /dof= 1: purple circle, too restrictive

- want $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{max} < \mathcal{L}_{max}^{true}$ in e.g. 95% of cases
- correct estimator $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{max}$: blue boxes

Conclusions - Doubt

single number to quantify degree of (dis)belief

- works well for linear toy model
- many applications especially in cosmology